

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/620,252	GARST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Morris	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia L. Morris.

(2) Mr. Szekeres.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Counsel agreed that the expressions "or heteroaromatic" and "or to the Y.....(viii)" were no longer necessary in claims 1 and 11. Counsel realized that the bracketed subject matter had been deleted from the claims. On 11-23-04, applicants faxed a complete set of claims with the incorporated changes. Due to time constraints, it is necessary to send a quayle action. After the fax is scanned into the file, the examiner will proceed with the allowance of the application..